

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/798,083	03/11/2004	Carl A. Renner	CH2958USNA	5319		
23906	7590 10/03/2005		· EXAMINER			
	E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER			DAVIS, BRIAN J		
	LL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1621			
WILMINGTO	WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/798,083	RENNER ET AL.	V			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	•	Brian J. Davis	1621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioc re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
Disnositi	ion of Claims	•					
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraward. Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21,23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examinating the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the	awn from consideration. for election requirement. her. herecepted or b)□ objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/11/04:4/5/05</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/798,083

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear how the two steps of "contacting" and "introducing" could bring about any sort of separation ("A process comprising contacting a product mixture with a base... to produce a base-treated mixture and introducing said base-treated mixture to a distillation apparatus..."). The claim as written only actively states that a base is added to a product mixture. The examiner respectfully suggest that the base-treated mixture must actually be distilled, not simply introduced into a distillation apparatus (compare claim 22).

Claims 2-16 and 18-21 are also rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as claims which depend from indefinite claims are also indefinite. *Ex parte Cordova*, 10 USPQ 2d 1949, 1952 (PTO Bd. App. 1989).

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim recites the limitation "polyhydric alcohol." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,083

Art Unit: 1621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10-12, 14 and 17 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 08295654 (abstract), cited by applicant in the IDS. The reference teaches the purification of aniline by contacting a solution containing aniline and phenols with an aqueous solution of alkali (NaOH or KOH preferred). The molar ratio of alkali to phenol is 3-500. The oil layer is then distilled to give purified aniline.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 22 is allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: US 1,942,838, US 3,682,782, FR 732180 (CAPLUS abstract), RU 23412 (CAPLUS abstract) and SU 1051057 (CAPLUS abstract) are cited to show related processes.

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian J. Davis

September 28, 2005